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1. Introduction

The architecture team plays the same role as the military strategist leading a military campaign: the failure or the success of the whole campaign depends on the strategies and decisions they make.

A necessary condition for the success of a project: identification (up front) of all the potential sources of failure, broadly referred to as the risks for a project.

Examples:
- Misunderstood requirements -> need to rework the sw. after delivery
- Staff new to the language -> slow development, poorer code delivered
- Weak User Interface -> customer dissatisfaction etc.

It is the responsibility of the architecture team to identify the architectural risks underlying the project, and to ensure that they are under control, and will be mitigated.
Design planning can be defined as a range of guidelines and strategies defined by the architecture team that lead the system construction.

Main goal of the design planning process is to identify the design issues and the risks underlying the construction of the system, and to find appropriate strategies in order to manage them.

It is recommended to (identify and) focus only on a limited number of risks (the most important ones: 5-15).

- This requires identification of all the risks
- Then prioritize them in order to select the most important ones

Design planning includes also the analysis of quality requirements and the organization of the tasks involved in achieving them.

Based on the risks and the quality requirements, the iterations over which the system will be developed are planned by prioritizing the functionality carrying the highest risks.
2. Sources of Risks

- Possible sources of risks include organizational, technological, and product constraints faced by the project.

- Organizational risks: a project may fail, for instance, because:
  - the resources and time needed have been underestimated,
  - the available staff doesn’t have the necessary skills,
  - there is a shortage of skilled personnel,
  - skilled personnel leave the project before end.

- Technological risks: a project may fail, for instance, because:
  - the appropriate technology is not available,
  - lack of standards and codes of practice

- Product risks: a project may fail, for instance, because:
  - the functionality required has not been properly identified or implemented.
  - Vague or changing requirements
  - Failed Product Performance

- Failing to meet quality requirements is a major source of (product) risk.
Quality Requirements

Software quality requirements are captured through *quality attributes*, which represent individual quality characteristics of a software product.

Examples of software *quality attributes* include:

- **Reliability**: ability of the system to behave precisely according to its requirements.
- **Efficiency**: the efficiency of a system can be measured through its use of resources such as processor time, memory, network access, system facilities, disk space and so on.
- **Maintainability**: effort required modifying, updating, evolving, or repairing a program during its operation.
- **Usability**: ease with which people of various backgrounds can learn to use a software.
- **Security**: involves three different aspects:
  - **Confidentiality**: prevent unauthorized disclosure of sensitive information
  - **Integrity**: prevent unauthorized modification of sensitive information.
  - **Availability**: guarantees timely delivery of service to authorized users.
Example 2.1

A company in charge of the development of an Hospital Information System has charged one of its subcontractors of the development of the **Patient Monitoring** subsystem, which is a critical part of the system. Due to high competition, **short time-to-market** is essential.

The subcontractor is a small software development company (12 developers) specialized in mission critical software development, which has established a strong reputation for **meeting deadlines**. Because of the mission critical nature of its products, the subcontractor **prefers building** most of its components in-house, rather than buying.

- Constraints Gathering
- Prioritize Constraints

**Aggressive schedule!!!**
Aggressive schedule

Risk: The development schedule is aggressive. Given the estimated effort and available resources, it may not be possible to develop all the software in the required time.

Influencing Factors:
1. Building is preferred over buying.
2. Limited head count.

Solution: Redesigning and reimplementing all of the software will take longer than the deadline. Possible strategies are to reuse software, buy COTS, and to release low-priority features at a later stage.

Strategy: Reuse existing in-house, domain-specific components:
Several of the in-house domain-specific components are candidates for reuse. However, reuse of some existing components may need substantial redesign and reimplementation. Evaluate each of these components to determine whether it is advantageous to reuse it and whether it will save time and effort.

Strategy: Buy rather than build.
Buying COTS software has the potential of saving time and effort. However, the price and licensing fees for some COTS products may be too high. Learning to use new COTS software may increase time and effort. Purchase or license COTS software when it is advantageous and when it will reduce development time substantially.
3. Risk Analysis

**Cardinal Aims of a Project**
- The success of a project can be measured by the degree of achievement of its *cardinal aims*, which are of three kinds:
  - the *whole life costs* of the system: development and ownership costs
  - the *system goals*: main system’s objectives from the business or the customer standpoint.
  - the *side effects*: unexpected *beneficial* or *detrimental* characteristics or capabilities of the systems appearing during the development.

**Notion of Risk**
- Any threat that can lead to the failure of a project.
- Any threat to the achievement of the cardinal aims of a project.
Risk Management Process

A risk management process involves the following steps:

1. Risk **identification**
2. Risk **analysis**
3. Risk **response planning**
4. Risk **resolution and monitoring**
Risk Identification

-A risk always involves two aspects: a **cause** and an **effect**.
- The **cause of a risk can be another risk**, and its effect can induce new risks.
- We can attach a **probability** to the cause, and an **impact** or a **size** to the effect.

-A starting point for risk finding can be the identification of the project **cardinal aims**.
- Then by proceeding backward we can identify any potential causes that may threaten their achievement.
- We build that way a **cause-effect tree** in which the roots are direct threats to the cardinal aims, and the leaves are basic risk factors.

-The risks identified in the tree are numbered, and recorded in a **risk register**, with a short description and their direct effect.
- The risk register is **built and updated progressively** as more risks or more information on existing risks arrive.
Example 3.1: Warehouse Management System

We are delivering an automated system that will run a big warehouse that is being upgraded to improve its accuracy and increase its capacity by doubling its throughput. We expect to reduce number of mistakes in what is sent to branches to a third of its current value.

The system will:
- accept new stock and move it to its appointed storage point
- print out the day’s ‘pick-lists’ for smaller items so that staff can place them in bins destined for stores
- move these bins and the larger items to the loading bays at the right moment for the waiting vehicles which will deliver them to the branches.

We have chosen the warehouse management product that we will buy as basis of our system. It will need some customization for the warehouse and product lines. The warehouse will need to be converted, staff trained in the new prices, the system installed.

It is expected that the system will be ready for the next peak period and cost no more than $10 million.
Cardinal Aims of the Project

- Product delivery at a cost of $10 million
- Double throughput capability at the times of peak demand
- Reduce number of mistakes in what is sent to branches to a third of its current value
- Be ready for the start of the peak demand next year.

Focus on finding the RISKS, then deal with them

**Cause-effect Tree**

1. Exceed cost target
2. Fail on throughput
3. Not ready for peak
4. Mistakes not reduced
5. Supplier fails to deliver
6. Installer fails to deliver
7. Training late
8. Key staff overloaded
9. Marketing over-keen
10. We are over-keen
11. Facilities over-exploited
12. Poor algorithms
13. Staff cannot cope

Project fails
Risk Register
- Each risk is assigned a *unique identifier*, and is allocated to a specific *person in charge* of its management and monitoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Source of Uncertainty</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Chosen Risk Reduction Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;A unique risk identifier&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;A brief description of the risk in cause-effect terms&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;A list of the risks that this one itself causes&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;An indicator saying whether the risk is caused by event and/or estimating uncertainty&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;A description of the event and/or estimating uncertainty that is causing the risk&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;An assessment of the likelihood that the risk materialize&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;An assessment of the scale of the impact the risk could have if it materialized&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;A list of the pre-emptive and/or reactive measures chosen to manage the risk&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The risk register lists the risks and their cause-effect relationships.
## Example: Risk Register for the Warehouse Management System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>We exceed the development cost target</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>At peak demand we cannot handle twice the throughput</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>We are not ready for the start of the peak period next year</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>We reduce mistakes but not by two-thirds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The supplier of the warehouse management product fails to deliver the customized version in time for us to complete testing before the peak period</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The machinery installer fails to get the machinery in place ready for integration with the software in time</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>We fail to get sufficient staff trained in the operation of the system in time</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Key people who will be needed to push through the work are overloaded</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The requirements being pushed by the marketing team are not fully validated</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>We demand more ‘knobs and whistles’ that can reasonably be incorporated in the time available</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Potential new facilities are being built into the machinery and we feel obliged to exploit these from day one</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The algorithms that control the movement and picking of stock may not be right for the way the warehouse is to be set up</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Staff cannot cope with the new technology</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Risk Analysis
-In order to conduct a thorough analysis of a risk, we need to have a good understanding of its nature, more specifically its cause and effect.

-According to the impact of a risk, we can classify it either as a binary risk or a sliding risk.

**Binary risk:** a risk, which either fully materializes or not at all; there is no middle ground, either it happens or it doesn’t happen at all.

**Sliding risk:** a risk whose impact can be variable; we may feel it slightly, hardly, or not at all, or somewhere in between.

When discussing the impact of a risk, we are talking about its ultimate impact on the cardinal aims of the project.
- The cause of a risk may also be classified in one of two ways: *event uncertainty* or *estimating uncertainty*.

**Event uncertainty:** situation created or caused by an event that might or might not happen; the chances for it to happen are characterized by a strong variability.

**Estimating uncertainty:** a lack of concrete knowledge or a total ignorance about some facts or the occurrence of some events.

Try to phrase the nature of an event uncertainty by forming a sentence that starts *“It may happen that…”*

Try to phrase the nature of an estimating uncertainty by forming a sentence that starts *“We are uncertain how much…”*
- An important aspect of the cardinal aims is that they are *not always quantifiable*; in some cases the single metrics appropriate or usable are qualitative ones.

- A way of estimating the cause and effect of a risk, consists of using some *qualitative ranking*.

ACHINE: The *probability* attached to the *cause* of a risk can be ranked as:

- **VL**: Very Likely
- **L**: Likely
- **U**: Unlikely
- **VU**: Very Unlikely

ACHINE: The *impact* associated to the *effect* of a risk can be assessed as:

- **L**: Life threatening
- **P**: Project threatening
- **E**: Expensive in cost or time
- **S**: Some cost or time penalty
- **N**: Negligible cost or time penalty
**Risk Response Planning**

- Identification of the risk reduction measures and strategies.

Goal: *remove the threats* to the achievement of the cardinal aims of the project.

- Risk reduction may consist of *removing the cause* of the risk by reducing its *probability* or by alleviating its *impact* on the project, or a combination of both approaches.

- Risk reduction measures are classified in two categories: *pre-emptive measures* and *reactive measures*.

---

**Pre-emptive measure:** is executed before the risk materializes; its focus is mainly the reduction of the probability associated to the cause of the risk.

**Reactive measure:** is executed after the risk materializes; its main goal is to minimize the impact of the risk.
Pre-emptive measures are divided into four sub-categories: information-buying, risk-influencing, and contractual-transfer measures and process models.

Information-buying: collects information or knowledge that will dissipate the uncertainty underlying the cause of the risk; so it is mainly used for risks based on estimating uncertainty.

Risk-influencing: targets the reduction of the variability attached to the cause of a risk probability; is mainly used for risk based on event uncertainty.

Contractual transfer: consists of subcontracting risky activities to a third party that is specialized in such kind of activities.

Process model: structures the project development into steps that are risk-driven, and allows to deal with major risks through successive and iterative steps.
**Reactive risk reduction measures** consist of two sub-categories: **contingency plans** and **insurance**.

**Contingency plan:** backup or alternative solution that will be adopted in case where the risk materializes.

**Insurance:** consists of getting coverage in case where the risk materializes.

**Residual risks:** risks remaining unsolved after applying the adopted measures.

- We may provide an assessment of a residual risk using a 3-level scale: **worst case**, **best case**, and **chosen case**.

- The chosen case lies between the best and worst case, and represents the **risk provision**.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Source of Uncertainty</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Reduction Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The machinery installer fails to get the machinery in place ready for integration with the software in time</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>event</td>
<td>It may happen that the installer does not have the capability to deal with our requirements and to do the job</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Ensure the supplier understand the business criticality for both parties. Increase the visibility of the project in the industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>We fail to get sufficient staff trained in the operation of the system in time</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>estimate and event</td>
<td>We are uncertain how long it will take to train them. It may happen that we cannot get sufficient candidates to train</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Get some estimates from a training co. for the training requirements for the tasks concerned. Find out how long things took at the last major change and scale the figures up. Increase wage levels for trained staff to improve recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Key people who will be needed to push through the work are overloaded</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>estimate</td>
<td>We are uncertain how big the load on them will be and what other commitments they will have at the time</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Inventory other activities currently occupying time of key staff. Negotiate with relevant directors to free more of their time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Example

- Identify the risks for the ATM System.

The bank’s motivation for developing the system is to attract new customers by offering **low banking fees**, and a variety of services. The bank will also be able to reduce its wage costs by processing an increased number of banking transactions automatically through the system instead of manually through cashiers. It is essential for them to lower the development cost and to minimize the product support cost.

Security is critical; the system must be fully integrated into existing enterprise security infrastructure. More specifically the ATM system will reuse an existing secured database.

The time for 90% of the users to learn (through supplied step-by-step instructions) how to use the first time the system must not be more than 5 minutes.

When a user issues a request, the system should respond with a verification of the request within 1.0 second in 90% of the cases. The time for the verification must never exceed 10.0 s, unless the network connection is broken (in which case the user should be notified). The ATM System must have no more than 1 hour per month of down time.

Interbank Software Ltd. is a small software company which is known for its ability to meet deadlines and for its past experience in high integrity software development. There are ten developers working permanently for the company with solid background in object-oriented design and programming using UML, Java, and C++.
Preliminary Analysis

Cardinal aims
- Bank goals:
  ÷ attract new customers,
  ÷ provide low banking fees
  ÷ reduce processing fees, wage costs
  ÷ reduce development and product support cost
  ÷ provide a variety of services

Quality Goals
÷ Security: reuse of existing security infrastructure
÷ Usability: 90% of users must learn how to use the system in <5min
÷ Performance: response time <1s for 90% of request
  verification time <10s
÷ Fault tolerance: detection, reporting
÷ Maintainability: low product support cost
÷ Reliability: Down time < 1h per month
Cause-Effect Tree

1. Few new customers

2. Customer dissatisfaction

3. Fees still high

4. Catalogue of services outdated

5. Wage costs still high

6. High processing time (performance)

7. Product Support cost high (maintainability)

8. System unfriendly (usability)

9. System Unreliable (fault tolerance)
## Risk Registry (samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Source of Uncertainty</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Reduction Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The system is very slow: minimum response time requirements are not met.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>event</td>
<td>Hardware and network capacity are not enough to sustain the workload</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Conduct some capacity planning during the design in order to guide and evaluate the workload distribution among modules. Validate performance metrics through testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Product may be difficult to maintain, which increases support cost.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>event</td>
<td>Product structure lacks flexibility and is very complex.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Apply modular design principles: separation of concerns, separation of implementation and policy, separation of interface and implementation etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>System may fail quite often.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>event</td>
<td>Hardware and software unreliable.</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Apply fault tolerant design principles and techniques (Modular Redundancy etc.) . Conduct some reliability and fault analysis during design. Validate reliability metrics through testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>