Chap 3. Test Models and Strategies

- **3.2 State-based Testing**
- **1. Introduction**
- 2. State Transition Diagram
- **3. Transition Test Strategy**

1. Introduction

-State-based testing relies on the construction of a finite-state machine (FSM) or state-transition diagram that describes the dynamic behavior of the system under testing.

-However, conventional FSM models are not ready for test case generation, because in general they are ambiguously defined.

•Effective test data generation requires making the FSM model test-ready by defining precisely the underlying meaning.

-The FSM model can be derived from the program specification, or extracted from the code through reverse-engineering.

-State-based models can be used both for unit and integration testing, and for functional as well as structural testing.

- -Many state-based test strategies have been proposed in the research literature. In this chapter, we'll illustrate state-based testing by studying the **transition-based testing strategy**.
- -The transition-based test strategy **rigorously** defines the **semantics** of the basic features of a state diagram (e.g., state, event etc.) as invariants or predicates, and analyze these predicates using **domain matrix** in order to generate suitable test cases.
- -The advantage of the transition test strategy compared with classical domain analysis is that it emcompasses implicitly a test oracle; so it removes the need to define explicitly expected outputs, which can be extremely difficult in many cases.

2. State-Transition Diagram

-Use cases and scenarios provide a way to describe system behavior, that is the interactions between objects in the system.

- -UML state diagram is used to model the dynamic behavior of any modeling element, such as a class, a use case or an entire system.
 - It allows the modeling of the behavior inside a single object.
 - •It shows the sequence of states of an object during its lifetime.
 - •It *shows the events or messages* that cause a *transition* from *one state to another*, and the actions that result from a state change.
 - •It is *created only for classes with significant dynamic behavior*, like control classes.
 - •So it is appropriate for developing test cases at the class level.

-The main building blocks of a state transition diagram are *states* and *transitions*.

State:

•a situation during the life of an object when it *satisfies some condition, performs some action*, or *waits for an event*

•found by examining the attributes and links defined for the object

•represented as a rectangle with rounded corners



Transition:

•represent a change from an originating state to a successor state (that may be the same as the originating state).

•may have an action and/or a guard condition associated with it, and may also trigger an event.

Example: Lighting System

Specify a lighting system with two lamps and one button functioning as follows:

- 1. When the light is off, pushing the button causes the first lamp to go on.
- 2. Pushing the button again causes the second lamp to go on and the first to go off.
- 3. Pushing the button yet again causes both lamps to go on, and pushing it once more switches both lamps off.

Class Diagram

Lighting

- lamp1_status: {on,off}
- lamp2_status: {on,off}
- button_status: {high, low}

+ push()

- switch()

State Diagram



Possible Implementation

public class Lighting {

```
private static boolean on = true;
private static boolean off = false;
private static boolean high = true;
private static boolean low = false;
private boolean lamp1Status, lamp2Status, buttonStatus;
```

```
public Lighting() {lamp1Status=false; lamp2Status=false; buttonStatus=true;}
```

```
public Lighting(boolean 11, boolean 12, boolean b) {
            lamp1Status=l1; lamp2Status=l2;
            buttonStatus=b;
public void push() {
            if ((lamp1Status==false) & (lamp2Status==false)) lamp1Status = true;
            elseif ((lamp1Status == true) & (lamp2Status==false))
                                      lamp1Status = false;
                                      lamp2Status = true;
            elseif ((lamp1Status == false) & (lamp2Status==true)) lamp1Status=true;
            else {
                                      lamp1Status = false;
                                      lamp2Status = false;
            switch();
```

```
private void switch () {buttonStatus = ! buttonStatus;}
```

3. Transition Test Strategy

-A (UML) state diagram describes the sequence of states through which an object evolves during its lifetime, as well as the sequence of messages it sends and/or receives.

- •The messages exchanged during that lifetime correspond to method calls, i.e., events, and are associated to transitions between states.
- •Hence, the actual execution of a method is closely related to the firing of a corresponding transition in the statechart specifying the class behavior.
- •Since a method can be executed under various circumstances, several transitions can be associated with a method, each corresponding to a particular circumstance.
- -The transition test strategy identifies the collection of transitions associated with a method and uses these transitions as basis for test case generation.
- •It requires the definition of precise semantics for the state model.

Semantics Definition

-Possible semantics for state model may consist of defining predicate expressions for *basic states*, *actions*, and *guard conditions*.

Guard condition: the predicate corresponds to the expression of the condition. *Basic state*: the predicate represents an abstraction of corresponding situation. *Action*: the predicate corresponds to an abstraction of the output or result of the action

-State predicates may be function of only state variables (i.e., attributes or instance variables), while conditions and actions predicates may be function of both state variables and method parameters.

-Example: Semantics Definition for Lighting System

State Predicates

 $Pred(Light_Off) = (lamp1_status = off) \land (lamp2_status = off)$

 $Pred(Lamp1_On) = (lamp1_status = on) \land (lamp2_status = off)$

 $Pred(Lamp2_On) = (lamp1_status = off) \land (lamp2_status = on)$

 $Pred(All_Lamps_On) = (lamp1_status = on) \land (lamp2_status = on)$

Action Predicates

Pred(switch) = (button_status' = ¬ button_status)

Condition Predicates

There is no guard condition associated with the transitions (in this example), so no condition predicate.

Definition of Method Pre/Post-Conditions

- -The activation of a transition involves two predicates.
- •The first predicate defining the enabling condition for the transition is associated with the source state and the guard condition.
- •The second predicate defines the outcome of firing the transition, and as such it is related to the target state and the resulting action.

-This pair of predicates can be used to define partial pre-post condition pair for the transition.



•The global pre/post-condition for a method corresponds to the combination of the pre/post condition pairs associated with all the transitions involving that method.

Example: Pre/Postconditions Pairs for Lighting System

•Four transitions are associated with method push(), which correspond to 4 (partial) pre/postconditions pairs.

t1: Light_Off→Lamp1_On; t2: Lamp1_On→Lamp2_On; t3: Lamp2_On→All_Lamps_On; t4: All_Lamps_On→Light_Off

Partial Pre/postcondition pair for t1:
pre_push1 = pred(Light_Off) ^ pred (C1) = (lamp1_status = off) ^ (lamp2_status = off)
post_push1= pred(Lamp1_On) ^ pred (switch)
= (lamp1_status' = on) ^ (lamp2_status' = off) ^ (button_status' = ¬ button_status)

Test Data Generation

-The pre/post-conditions should be converted into executable test assertions by refining them.

•The preconditions are broken into disjunctive normal forms (DNF), by eliminating the disjunction (\vee) operator from their expressions.

•Example:

Decomposition of $(a \land b) \lor (p \land q)$ two disjoint cases: $(a \land b)$ and $(p \land q)$.

-Each DNF expression is analyzed separately using the domain testing technique in order to generate the test cases, which can be collected using a domain matrix.

Example: Test data generation for lighting system

•Partial Pre/postcondition pair for t1:

 $pre_push1 = pred(Light_Off) \land pred(C1) = (lamp1_status = off) \land (lamp2_status = off)$ $post_push1 = pred(Lamp1_On) \land pred(switch)$

= $(lamp1_status' = on) \land (lamp2_status' = off) \land (button_status' = \neg button_status)$

•Conversion of the precondition pre_push1 into DNF:

-No conversion is required because the predicate is already in a DNF format consisting of two conjuncts: (conj1: lamp1_status = off) and (conj2: lamp2_status = off)

•**Refinement into executable test assertion:** consists of bringing the predicate expressions into adequacy with the implementation language used (e.g., Java, C etc.).

-We need to derive adequate Java assertions that can be used to test the target implementation given previously.

- In this case, we simply need to harmonize the **variables** and **operators** involved in the expressions to fit the target program. Doing so lead to the following Java assertions:

conj1 = (lamp1Status == false); conj2 = (lamp2Status == false)
post_push1= (lamp1Status' ==true) & (lamp2Status' ==false)
 & (buttonStatus' == ! buttonStatus)

•Test Case Generation Using Domain Matrix:

| Variable | Condition | Туре | Test cases | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------|------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| lamp1Status | lamp1Status =false | On | False | | | |
| | | Off | | True | | |
| | Typical | In | | | False | False |
| lamp2Status | lamp2Status =false | On | | | False | |
| | | Off | | | | True |
| | Typical | In | False | False | | |
| buttonStatus | Typical | In | False | True | True | False |
| Expected Result | | | True | Undefined | True | Undefined |

-True for the expected result means that IUT should accept the test input and produce correct results, which here corresponds to the outcome of the evaluation of the postcondition.

- -When the precondition is false, the postcondition can be anything (true or false); so the test case is considered **undefined** in this particular case.
- -Finally, for this partial pre/postcondition pair, we obtain only 2 valid test cases: 1 & 3.

Test Execution

-Test execution can be done by generating appropriate test harness and/or using a test execution tool such as JUnit or Rational Tester.

-Basic steps for test execution may include the following:

- 1. Creating a fresh object, and setting its source state using the test values generated.
- 2. Then, the method under testing is executed, and the outcome of the target state is observed. Object state can be set and observed by using mutator and accessor methods (e.g. set/get methods).
- 3. Evaluate the execution outcome and report the result.
- 4. Class testing is conducted by testing all the methods involved in the class.

Example: Test execution for the lighting system

Consider test case 1 from the domain matrix:

$$tc_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} lamp1Status = false \\ lamp2Status = false \\ buttonStatus = false \\ \end{pmatrix}, output : | post = true |$$

• Test case execution code:

```
public boolean execute() {
```

boolean 11, 12, b; //instance variables for class under testing boolean result = false; // test result

// Create a fresh object, and set its source state using the test values generated#tc1
Lighting l = new Lighting(false,false,false);

//Execute the method under testing and observe the outcome
l.push();
l1 = l.getLamp1Status();
l2 = l.getLamp2Status();
b = l.getButtonStatus();

//Evaluate the outcome of the execution using the postcondition if((11 == true) && (12 = false) && (b == true)) then result = true;

return result;

Issue:

}

-Compilation: can you observe the state of object l (i.e., code getXXX...)?