Computer-Aided Design and Improved Performance of Tunable Ferrite-Loaded
E-Plane Integrated Circuit Filters for
Millimeter-Wave Applications

JAROSLAW UHER, FRITZ ARNDT, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE, AND JENS BORNEMANN, MEMBER, IEEE

I. INTRODUCTION

Tunable waveguide filters, where the bandpass characteristic may be controlled within a desired frequency range, are of considerable practical interest for many applications [1]–[12]. Common techniques include sliding walls [1], varactor diodes [2], YIG resonators [1], [3]–[5], ferrite-slab-loaded evanescent-mode waveguide sections [6], [7], and hexagonal ferrite materials [8]–[10]. Although providing wide tuning ranges, the application of YIG tuned filters may often be restricted by the relatively narrow band selectivity available, the low power handling capability, and the magnetic saturation of the accessible materials, which causes limitations, especially at higher frequencies [1], [3]–[5], [7], [8]. Hexagonal ferrites utilize the large anisotropy to reduce the magnetic field required and, hence, are also appropriate for higher frequencies.

However, the known tunable filter designs using hexagonal ferrite [8]–[10], [39], show relatively high insertion loss. Tunable evanescent filter techniques have hitherto been restricted to X-band (8–12 GHz) designs [6], [7]. Moreover, the capacitive posts and screws used in the below-cutoff sections [6], [7], may require relatively expensive fabrication methods and postassembly adjustments.

More recently, magnetically tunable E-plane integrated circuit filters have been introduced [11], [12], which are particularly appropriate for low-cost photolithographic fabrication techniques and for millimeter-wave applications. These are the E-plane metal insert filter with lateral ferrite inserts and the large-gap finline filter on a ferrite substrate. This paper describes new designs of millimeter-wave, magnetically tunable E-plane integrated circuit filters offering improved performance. The E-plane metal insert filter where only the resonator sections are loaded with ferrite slabs (Fig. 2(a)) achieves improved stopband characteristics. The large-gap finline filter on a TT86-6000 ferrite substrate of small width (w = 0.22 mm) yields low-insertion-loss millimeter-wave characteristics.

The design combines the advantages of printed circuit technology [13]–[21] with the high power capability of tunable ferrite-slab-loaded waveguide filters [6], [7]. Moreover, the exact design theory permits high-precision manufacturing by etching techniques without the necessity of postassembly "trial-and-error" adjustment methods. Furthermore, these types of filters, which are also suitable for a large number of resonators, may complement advantageously the more narrow band YIG filters, when relatively large bandwidth designs combined with moderate tuning ranges are required.

Many refined design methods for printed E-plane circuit filters without ferrite-loaded waveguide sections [13]–[21] and for ferrite-slab-loaded waveguides [22]–[30] are available. The computer-aided design of the magnetically tunable E-plane integrated circuit filters presented in this paper (Fig. 1) is based on the modal S matrix method [11], [12], [15], [16], [21], [28]–[30], which has already proved to be highly appropriate for the accurate design of millimeter-wave components since higher order mode cou-
Magnetic tuning effects as well as the finite thickness of all inserts and of the metallization are included.

For computer optimization, the evolution strategy method [15], [21], [28]–[30], i.e., a suitably modified direct-search procedure, is applied where no differentiation step in the optimization process is necessary and hence the problem of local minima may be avoided. Optimized design data and results are presented for magnetically tunable Ku-band (12–18 GHz), Kα-band (26–40 GHz) and V-band (50–75 GHz) metal insert and finline filter examples. The theory is verified by measurements at Ku-band waveguide housings (15.799 mm x 7.899 mm) for metal insert and finline filters utilizing commercially available TTI-2800 and TTVG-1200 ferrite materials.

II. Theory

For the field theory treatment, the filter structures (Fig. 1) are decomposed into appropriate key building blocks. These are the septate waveguide coupling section [16], [21] with the double-ferrite-slab-loaded resonator region including the air gap region of width $w_g$ for the metal insert filter type (Fig. 2(a)); and the ferrite-slab-loaded double septate coupling section (metallization of thicknesses $t_1$, $t_2$ included) with the single-ferrite-slab-loaded resonator region for the finline filter type (Fig. 2(b)). The overall scattering matrix of the total filter component is calculated by a suitable direct combination [15], [16], [21], [28]–[30] of all single modal scattering matrices of the key building blocks and homogeneous waveguide sections involved. This procedure preserves numerical accuracy, and the number of modes at the discontinuities may be adapted to the specific requirements of each individual step junction, since no symmetry of modes is necessary for this combination method.

For each homogeneous subregion, $v = I$ to IV (Fig. 2), the field equations [23] of the resulting TE$_{n0}$ wave, if a
\[ \nabla \times \vec{H} = j \omega \vec{E} \quad \nabla \cdot (\langle \vec{\mu} \rangle \vec{H}) = 0 \]
\[ \nabla \times \vec{E} = -j \omega \langle \vec{\mu} \rangle \vec{H} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0 \] (1)

are derived from the electric field component \( \vec{E}, E_y^{(r)} \) expressed as a sum of \( N \) eigenmodes [11], [28] satisfying the vector Helmholtz equation and the boundary conditions at the discontinuities in the \( x \) direction. The permeability tensor for the assumed magnetization in the \( y \) direction takes the form [22], [32], [33]

\[ \langle \vec{\mu} \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & -j k \\ 0 & \mu_r & 0 \\ j k & 0 & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix} \] (2)

with elements \( \mu_1, \mu_r, \) and \( k. \) The filter types under consideration (Fig. 1) operate below ferrimagnetic resonance; hence, the usual calculation of the tensor elements yields reliable results. For a demagnetized ferrite substrate (i.e., \( H_{dc} = 0 \)), the off-diagonal tensor elements vanish, and the diagonal elements may be approximated by expressions given in [32]. For given external dc biasing fields, the internal magnetic field is calculated taking into account the related demagnetization factors [25], [33].

The high power-handling capability of \( E \)-plane ferrite-loaded waveguide circuits requires some criteria to be considered for a suitable choice of the corresponding ferrite material [34]–[36] and biasing dc fields. Ferrites with relatively constant thermal characteristics and low losses may help to avoid midband frequency shifts due to the alternation of the magnetic saturation with high temperatures caused by power dissipation in the magnet coils and by the losses of the material. Subsidiary resonance effects [34]–[36] may be circumvented by an appropriate selection of ferrite materials with a suitable spinwave line width [34]–[36] together with a suitable range of the biasing dc field. In contrast to ferrite devices with a constant biasing field (such as high-power differential phase shifters), where operation between subsidiary and main resonance is recommended [34], [35], for tunable filters utilizing the dc field range starting with \( H_{dc} = 0 \), operation below subsidiary resonance is more suitable. These considerations require an upper limit for the maximum dc biasing magnetic field, e.g., based on calculations given in [34], to be taken into account. For example, the filters with TTI-2800 ferrite material (Transtech Inc.) for midband frequencies at about \( f_0 = 14 \) GHz, should be magnetized only up to a maximum level of about \( H_{dc,max} \approx 2.2 \times 10^5 \) A/m if high signal level transmission is assumed. The related values \( H_{dc,max} \) for the other material TT86-6000 (Transtech Inc.) and midband frequencies \( f_0 \) used in this paper are as follows: for \( f_0 = 29.7 \) GHz (\( Ka \)-band design), \( H_{dc,max} \approx 4.2 \times 10^5 \) A/m; for \( f_0 = 58.2 \) GHz (\( V \)-band design), \( H_{dc,max} \approx 8.3 \times 10^5 \) A/m; and for \( f_0 \approx 51.7 \) GHz (\( V \)-band design), \( H_{dc,max} \approx 7.4 \times 10^5 \) A/m.

The propagation factor \( \gamma_n \) in the waveguide sections is determined via field matching [23], [28] of the transverse field components along the boundaries in the \( x \) direction, together with the relations for the single wavenumbers in the cross-sectional subregions. The requirement that the system determinant be zero results in a transcendental equation for \( \gamma_n \) (equation (A17)), given in the Appendix) which is solved numerically [28]. The influence of small lateral air gaps of width \( w_a \) (cf. Fig. 2(a)), due to fabrication tolerances, on the filter response, which has been observed in evanescent-mode filters as well [6], [7], is adequately taken into account by extending the field matching method to include the subregions VI and II in Fig. 2(a) for the five-layer resonator regions of lengths \( l_r. \)

Matching the transversal field components at the corresponding interfaces of Fig. 2 yields the modal scattering matrices of the corresponding discontinuities. As this procedure is already explicitly described in [15], [16], [21], and [28]–[30], for further details of the method the reader is referred to the literature. The modal scattering matrices of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix} (A^{-}) \\ (D^{+}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (S_{11}) & (S_{12}) \\ (S_{21}) & (S_{22}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A^{+}) \\ (D^{-}) \end{pmatrix}
\] (3)

of the key building block structures of finite length (Fig. 2) are obtained by suitably arranging the still unknown normalized amplitude coefficients involved including the homogeneous waveguide sections between the inverse discontinuities. The submatrices of the modal scattering matrix of the \( E \)-plane metal insert filter coupling section (Fig. 2(a), upper picture) and of the finline filter resonator section (Fig. 2(b), lower picture), of finite length, are already given in [16] and [28], respectively. The corresponding submatrices of the \( E \)-plane metal insert filter resonator section (Fig. 2(a), lower picture) and the finline filter coupling section (Fig. 2(b), upper picture) are given in the Appendix.

The computer-aided design of the filters is carried out by an optimization program which applies a suitably modified direct search procedure, namely the evolution strategy method [15], [16], [21], [28]–[31], where no differentiation step is necessary; hence the problem of local minima may be circumvented. An error function \( F(\bar{x}) \) to be minimized is defined as

\[
F(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{V_{\text{stop}}} \left[ a_{s,\min}/a_{21}(f_\nu) \right]^2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{V_{\text{pass}}} \left[ a_{21}(f_\nu)/a_{p,max} \right]^2 \leq \text{Min}
\] (4)

where \( f_\nu \) are the frequency sample points, and \( V_{\text{stop}} \) and \( V_{\text{pass}} \) are the numbers of sample points in the stopband and passband, respectively. A total of 20–30 frequency sample points, both in passband and stopband, has turned out to be sufficient. Values \( a_{s,\min} \) and \( a_{p,max} \) are the given minimum stopband and maximum passband attenuation, respectively, and \( a_{21} = -20 \log(|S_{21}|) \) is the insertion loss calculated at the frequency \( f_\nu \).
For given waveguide housing dimensions $a, b$, given thicknesses of the ferrite slabs, fins, or metal inserts, respectively, and a given number of resonators, the parameters $x$ to be optimized are all resonator lengths and lengths of the coupling sections. The initial values for the filter resonator lengths for the optimization structure may be chosen to be $\lambda_g/3$ to $\lambda_g/2$, where $\lambda_g$ is the guide wavelength of the TE$_{10}$ mode at the given midband frequency; the loading effect of the ferrite slabs is estimated by using the relations for the tensor parameters [22], [32], [33]. For the initial coupling section lengths, the values resulting from the numerical synthesis of the related metal insert filters or finline filters, respectively, without the ferrite-loaded sections [15], [16], [21], have been chosen.

For computer optimization of the filters, the expansion into as many as ten odd eigenmodes (i.e., TE$_{10}$, TE$_{30}$, . . . , TE$_{19}$) within the symmetrical resonator sections has turned out to be sufficient. For each unsymmetrical coupling subregion I, II (Fig. 2(a), upper figure) and I, III (Fig. 2(b), upper figure), however, the related even modes must also be taken into account (i.e., TE$_{10}$, TE$_{20}$, . . . , TE$_{19}$). The final design data are proven through an expansion of 30 odd eigenmodes (and 29 even eigenmodes, respectively). The convergence behavior of the modal method used for ferrite-loaded waveguides has already been demonstrated in [28].

III. RESULTS

Fig. 3(a) shows the calculated and measured filter response of a computer-optimized three-resonator magnetically tunable metal insert filter with two lateral ferrite TTI-2800 slabs (Transtech Inc.) of length $l = 50$ mm and width $w = 1$ mm for two different dc field strengths. The operating midband of the filter may be tuned from about 14.1 GHz to 15.7 GHz. The measured minimum passband insertion loss is about 1 dB. This value compares very well with those reported in literature for the usual types of magnetically tunable filters: evanescent filters (e.g. about 2 dB for a three-section filter at 9 GHz [6]), YIG filters (e.g. about 3–4 dB for a three-section filter at 34 GHz [7]), and hexagonal ferrite filters (e.g. about 6 dB for a two-sphere filter, and about 10 dB for a four-sphere filter, at $V$-band [39]). In Fig. 3(a), good agreement between theory and measured results may be observed.

Although the technique to use two lateral ferrite slabs along the whole filter section (Fig. 3(a)) may be more convenient concerning a simple manufacturing of the component, improved stopband characteristics of this filter type are obtained by a modified performance (Fig. 3(b)), where only the resonator sections are loaded with the ferrite slabs. The improved tunable $E$-plane metal insert filter design (Fig. 3b) avoids the undesired secondary effect of the reduction of the cutoff frequency by the ferrite loading for the below-cutoff coupling sections of the filters; hence, direct coupling of modes along the strip section with increasing frequency is circumvented within the waveguide band under consideration. Moreover, the modified filter type (Fig. 3(b)) where the ferrite slabs are

![Fig. 3. Computer-optimized Ku-band magnetically tunable $E$-plane metal insert filter. Calculated and measured filter response. Design data:

Ferrite TTI-2800, $a-2b=15.799$ mm, $w=0.19$ mm, $l=50$ mm, $w=1$ mm, $l_1 = l_2 = 3.59$ mm, $l_3 = l_4 = 8.916$ mm, $l_5-l_2 = 9.417$ mm, $l_3-l_4 = 8.921$ mm, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 1.72 \times 10^5$ A/m.

(a) Filter with two lateral ferrite slabs of length $l$. (b) Filter of improved performance with six lateral ferrite slabs (only in the resonator sections).]
Fig. 4 shows the filter responses of computer-optimized, magnetically tunable, three-resonator E-plane metal insert filters for millimeter-wave applications. The Ku-band design (Fig. 4(a)) with a WR28 waveguide housing (7.112 mm × 3.556 mm) uses lateral ferrite TT86-6000 slabs (TransTech Inc.) of widths w = 0.5 mm for two different dc field strengths (curves 1, 2). The operating midband of the filter may be tuned within about 29.7 and 30.4 GHz. The V-band design (Fig. 4(b)) with a WR15 housing (3.76 mm × 1.88 mm) is based on lateral ferrite slabs of the same material but with a reduced width of w = 0.25 mm. Ferrite materials with thicknesses appropriate for millimeter waves may efficiently be fabricated by e.g. arc-plasma-spray processing techniques [37], [38]. The different field strengths considered (curves 1, 2) yield tuning ranges of the operating midband of the filter within about 58.3 and 59.3 GHz (Fig. 4(b)).

The filter responses of computer-optimized millimeter-wave large-gap finline filters on TT86-6000 ferrite substrates are shown in Fig. 5. The Ku-band design (Fig. 5(a)) provides a tuning range from about 29.1 to 30.0 GHz. For the V-band design (Fig. 5(b)), the related values are 51.9 and 53.3 GHz. The millimeter-wave tunable E-plane metal insert filter (Fig. 4(b)) and large-gap finline filter (Fig. 5(b)) designs achieve good constancy in the response shape as the center frequency is varied. Moreover, lower insertion losses may be achieved than with the usual hexagonal ferrite designs [8]–[10], [39].

The theory presented for the large-gap finline filter on a ferrite substrate is verified by measured results of an optimized magnetically tunable three-resonator Ku-band filter on a TTVG-1200 substrate (Fig. 6(a)). The measured minimum insertion losses are about 1.3 dB in the first and 2.3 dB in the second passband. As all relevant parameters, such as higher order mode interactions and the influence of the metallization thicknesses, are included in the design theory, the theoretically predicted values agree well with measured results. The components of the fabricated filter are shown in Fig. 6(b). These comprise the R140 waveguide housing (15.799 mm × 7.899 mm) together with the biasing magnet, and the photoetched finline filter structure on the ferrite TTVG-1200 substrate with a chromium/gold reinforced metallization with a total thickness t = 15 μm.

Fig. 4. Computer-optimized magnetically tunable E-plane metal insert filters. Calculated filter response.

(a) Ku-band design. Design data:
Ferrite TT86-6000, a = 2b = 7.112 mm, t = 0.51 mm, l_1 = l_2 = 1.009 mm, l_3 = l_4 = 4.778 mm, l_5 = 3.870 mm, l_6 = 4.796 mm, H_1 = 0, H_2 = 2 × 10^7 A/m, w = 0.5 mm.

(b) V-band design. Design data:
Ferrite TT86-6000, a = 2b = 3.76 mm, t = 0.1 mm, l_1 = l_2 = 0.708 mm, l_3 = l_6 = 2.243 mm, l_4 = l_5 = 2.260 mm, l_6 = 2.250 mm, H_1 = 0, H_2 = 8 × 10^7 A/m, w = 0.25 mm.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A rigorous field theory is applied for the optimum design and improved performance of millimeter-wave ferrite-loaded, magnetically tunable E-plane metal insert filters and large-gap finline filters on a ferrite substrate. Improved stopband characteristics for tunable E-plane metal insert filters are obtained by loading only the resonator sections with ferrite slabs. Large-gap finline filters on a ferrite substrate of reduced width achieve low-insertion-loss designs. Moreover, good constancy of the response shape, as the center frequency is varied, may be stated. Since all relevant parameters, such as higher order
Fig. 5. Computer-optimized magnetically tunable large-gap finline filter on ferrite substrate. Calculated filter response.

(a) Kα-band design. Design data:
Ferrite TT86-6000, $a = 2b = 7.112$ mm, $t = 10$ μm, $l_1 - l_6 = 0.041$ mm, $l_7 - l_7 = 0.870$ mm, $l_8 - l_9 = 2.12$ mm, $l_1 = l_2 = 2.814$ mm, $l_9 = 2.226$ mm, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 2 \times 10^5$ A/m, $w = 0.5$ mm.

(b) $\nu$-band design. Design data:
$l_1 = l_2 = 11.016$ mm, $l_3 = l_4 = 0.33$ mm, $l_5 = l_6 = 1.457$ mm, $l_5 = l_6 = 1.462$ mm, $l_5 = l_6 = 1.459$ mm, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 6 \times 10^5$ A/m, $w = 0.22$ mm.

Fig. 6. Computer-optimized Kα-band magnetically tunable large-gap finline filter. Design data:
Ferrite TTVG-1200, $a = 2b = 15.799$ mm, $t = 15$ μm, $l_1 - l_6 = 1.96$ mm, $l_7 - l_8 = 2.136$ mm, $l_9 = l_6 = 6.157$ mm, $l_4 = l_6 = 7.262$ mm, $l_5 = 6.204$ mm, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 2.3 \times 10^5$ A/m, $w = 0.7$ mm.

(a) Calculated and measured filter response. (b) Components of a realized magnetically tunable filter: RI40 waveguide housing together with the biasing magnet, and the photoetched filter structure on ferrite TTVG-1200 substrate with 15 μm metallization thickness.
mode interactions and the influence of an additional air gap between ferrite slabs and the waveguide walls for the metal insert filter and the metalization thickness for the finline filter, are included in the design theory, the theoretical predicted values agree well with measured results.

**APPENDIX**

**SCATTERING COEFFICIENTS IN (3)**

**A. E-Plane Metal Insert Filter Resonator Section**

\[(S_{11}^R) = (S_{22}^R) = (S_{11}^I) + (S_{12}^I)(R)\]

\[\cdot [(U) - (S_{22}^I)(R)(S_{22}^g)(R)]^{-1}\]

\[\cdot (S_{22}^g)(R)(S_{22}^g) \]  

\[(S_{12}^R) = (S_{21}^R) = (S_{12}^I)(R)\]

\[\cdot [(U) - (S_{22}^I)(R)(S_{22}^g)(R)]^{-1}(S_{21}^g) \]  

with the following abbreviations:

\[(S_{11}^I) = (D_E)^{-1}[(L_E)2(M) - (U)]\]

\[(S_{12}^I) = (D_E)^{-1}[(L_E)2(M) + (U)]\]

\[\cdot [(D_H)^{-1}(L_H) - (D_E)^{-1}(L_E)]\]  

\[(S_{21}^I) = 2(M)\]

\[(S_{22}^I) = 2(M)(D_H)^{-1}(L_H) - (U)\]

\[(M) = [(D_E)^{-1}(L_E) + (D_H)^{-1}(L_H)]^{-1}.\]

The elements of matrices \((R), (L_E), \) and \((L_H)\) are given by

\[(R)_{kk} = \exp \left(-y_k l_R\right)\]

\[(L_E)_{mk} = E_k^{(II)} I_{mk}^{(II)} + E_k^{(III)} I_{mk}^{(III)} + E_k^{(IV)} I_{mk}^{(IV)}\]

\[(L_H)_{mk} = E_k^{(II)} \frac{\nu_k}{\omega \mu_0 \mu_{eff}} I_{mk}^{(II)} - E_k^{(III)} \frac{\mu_1}{\omega \mu_0 \mu_{eff}} I_{mk}^{(III)}\]

\[+ \frac{\nu_k}{\omega \mu_0} \left[ E_k^{(II)} I_{mk}^{(II)} + E_k^{(III)} I_{mk}^{(III)} \right]\]

\[+ E_k^{(IV)} \frac{\nu_k}{\omega \mu_0 \mu_{eff}} I_{mk}^{(IV)}\]

\[+ E_k^{(IV)} \frac{\mu_1}{\omega \mu_0 \mu_{eff}} I_{mk}^{(IV)}\]

with \(D_E, D_H, E_k, F_k, \) and \(\mu_{eff} = \mu_2\) given in [28], and with the coupling integrals

\[I_{mk}^{(I)} = \int_0^w \sin (k_{Fk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

\[I_{mk}^{(II)} = \int_w^{a-w} \sin (k_{Lk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

\[I_{mk}^{(III)} = \int_w^{a-w} \cos (k_{Lk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

\[I_{mk}^{(IV)} = \int_0^w \sin (k_{Fk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

\[J_{mk}^{(I)} = \int_0^w \cos (k_{Fk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

\[J_{mk}^{(II)} = \int_{a-w}^a \cos (k_{Fk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

\[J_{mk}^{(III)} = \int_{a-w}^a \cos (k_{Fk} x) u_m(x) \, dx\]

The propagation factor \(y_k\) is calculated by the corresponding transcendental equation of the cross section eigenvalue problem:

\[f(y_n) = \sin \left[ k_{L_n}(a - 2w - 4w) \right] \sin^2 \left( k_{Fk} w \right) = \]  

\[\left[ \frac{A_{LF}}{2} \right]^2 \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mu_{eff}}{\mu_1} \right) y_n \left( k_{Fk} w \right) \]

\[+ \left[ \frac{k_{Fk} \mu_{eff} \cos (k_{Fk} w)}{k_{L_n}} \sin \left( k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w \right) \right) \right]^2\]

\[\left[ \frac{A_{FL} \sin (k_{Fk} w)}{2} \right]^2 \sin \left[ k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w \right) \right] \]

\[\left[ \frac{\sin (k_{Fk} w)}{2} \right]^2 \frac{A_{LF} A_{FL}}{4k_{L_n}} \sin \left( 2k_{Fk} w \right) \sin \left[ k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w \right) \right] \]

\[\left[ \frac{\sin (k_{Fk} w)}{2} \right]^2 \cos \left[ k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w - 2w \right) \right]\]

\[k_{Fk} \mu_{eff} A_{LF} + \frac{A_{FL}}{4k_{L_n}} \sin \left( 2k_{Fk} w \right) \sin \left[ k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w \right) \right] \]

\[\cos \left[ k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w - 2w \right) \right] \sin \left[ k_{L_n} \left( \frac{a}{2} - w \right) \right] \]

\[A_{LF} = \mu_2^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{k_{L_n}^2} \]  

\[A_{FL} = \mu_2^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{k_{L_n}^2} \]  

\[k_{Fk} = \gamma^2 + k_0^2 \]

\[k_{Fk}^2 = \gamma^2 + k_0^2 \]

\[k_{L_n}^2 = \gamma^2 + k_0^2 \]

\[k_0 = \omega^2 \mu_{eff} \mu_0 \]

**B. Finline Coupling Section**

\[(S_{11}^F) = (S_{22}^F) = - [(U) - [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)] \]

\[\cdot [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)]^{-1}\]

\[\cdot [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)]\]

\[\cdot [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)]\]

\[(S_{12}^F) = (S_{21}^F) = - [(U) - [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)] \]

\[\cdot [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)]^{-1}\]

\[\cdot [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)]\]

\[\cdot [(U) - (E)]^{-1}(W)]\]
with the matrix coefficient
\[
\begin{align*}
(E) &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{3} (N^{(n)}_{E})^2 (R^{(n)}) (R^{(n)T}) - (U)^{-1} \cdot (N^{(n)}_{H})^{-1}}{\sum_{n=1}^{3} (N^{(n)}_{E})^2 (R^{(n)}) (R^{(n)T}) - (U)^{-1} - (U)^{-1} (N^{(n)}_{H})^{-1}} \\
(W) &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{3} (D^{(n)}_{E}) (L^{(n)}_{E}) - (U)^{-1} (L^{(n)}_{H})^{-1}}{\sum_{n=1}^{3} (D^{(n)}_{E}) (L^{(n)}_{E}) - (U)^{-1}} \\
(N^{(n)}_{E}) &= (D^{(n)}_{E})^{-1} (L^{(n)}_{E}) \\
(N^{(n)}_{H}) &= (D^{(n)}_{H})^{-1} (L^{(n)}_{H}).
\end{align*}
\]
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