Accurate analysis of scattering from multiple waveguide discontinuities using the coupled-integral equations technique
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Abstract—A Coupled-Integral-Equations Technique (CIET) for the analysis of multiple discontinuities and bifurcations in rectangular waveguides is presented. A set of coupled integral equations for the tangential electric field over the planes of the discontinuities are derived and then solved by the moment method. Basis functions, which include the edge conditions and mirror images in the walls of the waveguide, are used to accelerate convergence of the numerical solution. One or two basis functions are sufficient to accurately determine the reflection and transmission properties of H-plane discontinuities and bifurcations. Reflection and transmission properties of N discontinuities are computed accurately from a single matrix of the order of $3N \times 3N$ instead of cascading the individual generalized scattering matrices whose dimensions increase rapidly as the distances between the discontinuities decrease.

I. INTRODUCTION

Discontinuities in waveguides have been heavily investigated both numerically and analytically over the past five decades. They have also been used, and continue to be used, in a variety of microwave devices such as couplers, filters and matching sections.

Early methods of analysis were essentially analytical in character, geared towards deriving equivalent lumped circuit elements for a given discontinuity. Variational expressions for these lumped elements were established and then used to obtain accurate results with reasonable trial solutions [1,2].

The Mode-Matching Technique (MMT), coupled with the generalized scattering matrix method, was also used by many researchers [3,4]. The moment method was also used by Auda and Harrington [5], by Leog et al. [6] and by Lyapin et al. [7] to investigate scattering from a waveguide discontinuity. Recent work on improving the MMT has focused on including the edge conditions and dealing with the phenomenon of relative convergence [8]. Along these lines, Sorrentino et al. [9] presented and extensive discussion of the numerical properties of inductive and capacitive irises within a modified mode-matching technique which includes the edge conditions. The tangential electric field at the aperture of the iris are expanded in series of weighted Gegenbauer polynomials. A similar approach was used by Rozzi and Mongiardo in the analysis of flange-mounted rectangular waveguide radiators [10]. Ömar and Yang also used basis functions which include
the edge conditions in their analysis of multiple windows in a resonant iris for microwave filters applications [11].

In the work reported in the literature up to date, attention is focused on a single discontinuity at a time. The analysis of multiple and successive discontinuities is performed in a modular fashion by cascading the individual generalized scattering matrices or admittance matrices. Although this approach enjoys a high degree of flexibility, as a variety of discontinuities can be analyzed separately and then stitched together, it gives an undue role to the normal modes of the waveguides. The situation becomes even more crucial when the distance between adjacent discontinuities decreases, leading to large individual scattering matrices.

In most applications where multiple discontinuities are used, measured quantities refer to response functions, such as transmission and reflection coefficients, at the “external” ports of the structure. Indeed, two physically different circuits are equivalent if their response functions are equal regardless of their internal structures. The behavior of the electromagnetic field at all internal discontinuities, although important in determining the overall response functions, is of limited interest. The Coupled-Integral-Equations Technique (CIET) used in this paper allows the direct computation of the response functions at the external ports and still accurately describes the electromagnetic field in the entire structure.

In this paper, we reexamine the role played by the individual modes of the waveguide and show how multiple successive discontinuities can be investigated in a single run. The approach relies on the fact that the dominant physics of the problem takes place at the discontinuities; the normal modes only provide a means of describing the energy flow. From the uniqueness theorem, specifying the tangential electric field over a closed surface is sufficient to determine the electromagnetic field in the volume enclosed by that surface [12]. The expansion coefficients over the normal modes of a section of a waveguide contained between two consecutive discontinuities, can therefore be expressed in terms of the tangential electric fields at the same discontinuities. The problem is reformulated in terms of these tangential fields instead of the normal modes of the waveguiding sections. By doing so, important information about the edge conditions and mirror images can be straightforwardly incorporated in the theory from the outset. Also, all the normal modes are included in the theory as they are involved only in computing inner products, regardless of the strength of the interactions between the discontinuities.

In order not bury the main idea of the technique in algebraic manipulations, in this paper, we only consider H-plane discontinuities. We investigate the reflection properties of an infinitely long asymmetric bifurcation, a bifurcation of finite length, and finally cascades of two and three septums of finite length. In all cases, the efficiency and accuracy of the technique is documented.
II. SCATTERING OF TE$_{10}$ FROM TWO SEPTUMS

The structure under consideration is shown in Figure 1a. It consists of a rectangular waveguide of cross section $a \times b$ and two H-plane septums of thickness $d_1$ and $d_3$ and height $a - a_1$. The two septums are separated by a distance $d_2$. We assume that all metals are perfectly conducting and that only the fundamental mode TE$_{10}$ is incident from left side.

Figure 1: Subdivision of structure and coordinate systems. a) two septums, b) three septums and c) finite length bifurcation.

In the standard Mode Matching Technique (MTT), the structure is analyzed by determining matrix representations for the individual discontinuities, I-II, II-III, III-IV and IV-V respectively, and then cascading the individual matrix representations. The efficiency of the method can be improved by including the edge conditions in the analysis of each discontinuity and the fact that only a
finite number of "accessible" modes are important in the interaction between two neighboring discontinuities [13]. It is, however, not obvious how a proper number of "accessible" modes is to be rigorously determined beforehand.

In the present method, we take another approach to the problem. To guarantee numerical efficiency, the method must include the edge conditions as well as any other pivotal information about the electromagnetic field. In addition, it must accurately take into account the interaction between neighboring discontinuities regardless of their separation. Fortunately, both requirements can be incorporated in the present method.

Let us assume that the tangential electric field at the gaps of the interfaces are denoted by $X(1)(x), X(2)(x), X(3)(x), X(4)(x)$ respectively (see Figure 1a). When only the TE$_{10}$ mode is incident (with amplitude equal to unity) on this structure, only TE$_{m0}$ modes are excited [4]. The electromagnetic field in each of the regions I to IV can be expanded in a series of forward and backward traveling normal modes whereas that in region V has only forward traveling modes. Therefore, the transverse components of the electric and magnetic fields in each of the five regions can be written as

\[\begin{align*}
E_y^I(x, z) &= \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] e^{-jk^I_m z} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m L_m \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] e^{jk^I_m z} \\
H_x^I(x, z) &= -Y_1 L_m \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] e^{-jk^I_m z} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m B_m \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] e^{jk^I_m z} \\
E_y^{II}(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [B_m L_m e^{jk^{II}_m z} + F_m L_m e^{-jk^{II}_m z}] \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
H_x^{II}(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m [B_m L_m e^{jk^{II}_m z} - F_m L_m e^{-jk^{II}_m z}] \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
E_y^{III}(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [B_m L_m e^{jk^{III}_m z} + F_m L_m e^{-jk^{III}_m z}] \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
H_x^{III}(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m [B_m L_m e^{jk^{III}_m z} - F_m L_m e^{-jk^{III}_m z}] \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
E_y^{IV}(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [B_m L_m e^{jk^{IV}_m z} + F_m L_m e^{-jk^{IV}_m z}] \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
H_x^{IV}(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m [B_m L_m e^{jk^{IV}_m z} - F_m L_m e^{-jk^{IV}_m z}] \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
E_y^V(x, z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m L_m e^{-jk^{V}_m z} \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}] \quad \text{(5.a)}
\end{align*}\]
The boundary conditions of the problem consist in the vanishing of the tangential (transverse) electric field over the metallic surfaces at each discontinuity and the continuity of the tangential electric and magnetic fields over the gaps. By choosing the functions $X'(x)$ to vanish on the metallic surfaces of the discontinuities, the first boundary condition of the tangential electric field is automatically satisfied, i.e., $X_i(x)$ must satisfy

$$X^i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad a_1 \leq x \leq a$$

Since the quantities $X^i(x)$ are equal to the tangential electric field at the discontinuities, they can be used to eliminate the modal expansion coefficients, $B^i_m$ and $F^i_m$. More precisely, by equating the expressions given in equations (1) to (5) to the appropriate functions $X^i(x)$ we get

$$B^I_m = -\delta_{m1} + \tilde{X}^{(1)}_1(m)$$

$$B^{II}_m = j\frac{e^{jk^{(II)}_m d_1}\tilde{X}^{(1)}_2(m) - \tilde{X}^{(2)}(m)}{2\sin[k^{(II)}_m d_1]}$$

$$F^{II}_m = j\frac{\tilde{X}^{(2)}_2(m) - e^{jk^{(II)}_m d_1}\tilde{X}^{(1)}_1(m)}{2\sin[k^{(II)}_m d_1]}$$

$$B^{III}_m = je^{-jk^{(III)}_m d_1}\frac{e^{-jk^{(III)}_m d_2}\tilde{X}^{(2)}_1(m) - \tilde{X}^{(3)}(m)}{2\sin[k^{(III)}_m d_2]}$$

$$F^{III}_m = je^{jk^{(III)}_m d_1}\frac{\tilde{X}^{(3)}_1(m) - e^{jk^{(III)}_m d_2}\tilde{X}^{(2)}_1(m)}{2\sin[k^{(III)}_m d_2]}$$

$$B^{IV}_m = je^{-jk^{(IV)}_m (d_1+d_2)}\frac{e^{-jk^{(IV)}_m d_3}\tilde{X}^{(3)}_2(m) - \tilde{X}^{(4)}(m)}{2\sin[k^{(IV)}_m d_3]}$$

$$F^{IV}_m = je^{jk^{(IV)}_m (d_1+d_2)}\frac{\tilde{X}^{(4)}_2(m) - e^{jk^{(IV)}_m d_3}\tilde{X}^{(3)}_2(m)}{2\sin[k^{(IV)}_m d_3]}$$

The boundary conditions of the problem consist in the vanishing of the tangential (transverse) electric field over the metallic surfaces at each discontinuity and the continuity of the tangential electric and magnetic fields over the gaps. By choosing the functions $X'(x)$ to vanish on the metallic surfaces of the discontinuities, the first boundary condition of the tangential electric field is automatically satisfied, i.e., $X_i(x)$ must satisfy

$$X^i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad a_1 \leq x \leq a$$

Since the quantities $X^i(x)$ are equal to the tangential electric field at the discontinuities, they can be used to eliminate the modal expansion coefficients, $B^i_m$ and $F^i_m$. More precisely, by equating the expressions given in equations (1) to (5) to the appropriate functions $X^i(x)$ we get

$$B^I_m = -\delta_{m1} + \tilde{X}^{(1)}_1(m)$$

$$B^{II}_m = j\frac{e^{jk^{(II)}_m d_1}\tilde{X}^{(1)}_2(m) - \tilde{X}^{(2)}(m)}{2\sin[k^{(II)}_m d_1]}$$

$$F^{II}_m = j\frac{\tilde{X}^{(2)}_2(m) - e^{jk^{(II)}_m d_1}\tilde{X}^{(1)}_1(m)}{2\sin[k^{(II)}_m d_1]}$$

$$B^{III}_m = je^{-jk^{(III)}_m d_1}\frac{e^{-jk^{(III)}_m d_2}\tilde{X}^{(2)}_1(m) - \tilde{X}^{(3)}(m)}{2\sin[k^{(III)}_m d_2]}$$

$$F^{III}_m = je^{jk^{(III)}_m d_1}\frac{\tilde{X}^{(3)}_1(m) - e^{jk^{(III)}_m d_2}\tilde{X}^{(2)}_1(m)}{2\sin[k^{(III)}_m d_2]}$$

$$B^{IV}_m = je^{-jk^{(IV)}_m (d_1+d_2)}\frac{e^{-jk^{(IV)}_m d_3}\tilde{X}^{(3)}_2(m) - \tilde{X}^{(4)}(m)}{2\sin[k^{(IV)}_m d_3]}$$

$$F^{IV}_m = je^{jk^{(IV)}_m (d_1+d_2)}\frac{\tilde{X}^{(4)}_2(m) - e^{jk^{(IV)}_m d_3}\tilde{X}^{(3)}_2(m)}{2\sin[k^{(IV)}_m d_3]}$$
and

\[ F_{m}^{IV} = e^{jk_{m}(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3})} \tilde{X}_{1}^{(4)}(m). \]  

(13)

The following notations were introduced for convenience

\[ \tilde{X}_{1}^{(i)}(m) = \frac{2}{a} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} X^{(i)}(x) \sin[m\pi x] \, dx \]

\[ \tilde{X}_{2}^{(i)}(m) = \frac{2}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{a_{1}} X^{(i)}(x) \sin[m\pi x] \, dx \]

(14)

The unknown functions \( X^{(i)}(x) \) will be determined from the requirement that the tangential magnetic field be continuous at each of the four interfaces. By using the expressions of the modal expansion coefficients as given by equations (9)-(13), in the modal expansions of the tangential magnetic field, we obtain a set of coupled integral equations in the functions \( X^{(i)}(x) \). More precisely, from the continuity of \( H_{x} \) at interface I-II we get

\[
-j \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{II} \left[ \tilde{X}_{1}^{(1)}(m) \cot(k_{m}d_{1}) - \frac{\tilde{X}_{2}^{(2)}(m)}{\sin[k_{m}d_{1}]} \sin[m\pi x] \right] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{I} \tilde{X}_{1}^{(1)}(m) \sin[m\pi x] = 2Y_{1}^{I} \sin(\pi x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_{1}.
\]

(15)

Similarly, from the continuity of \( H_{x} \) at interface II-III

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{II} \left[ \tilde{X}_{1}^{(1)}(m) \cot(k_{m}d_{1}) - \frac{\tilde{X}_{2}^{(2)}(m)}{\sin[k_{m}d_{1}]} \sin[m\pi x] \right] \\
- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{I} \tilde{X}_{1}^{(2)}(m) \cot(k_{m}d_{2}) - \frac{\tilde{X}_{1}^{(3)}(m)}{\sin[k_{m}d_{2}]} \sin[m\pi x] = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_{1}.
\]

(16)

From the continuity of \( H_{x} \) at interface III-IV,

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{II} \left[ \tilde{X}_{2}^{(2)}(m) \cot(k_{m}d_{2}) - \frac{\tilde{X}_{1}^{(3)}(m)}{\sin[k_{m}d_{2}]} \sin[m\pi x] \right] \\
- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{I} \tilde{X}_{1}^{(3)}(m) \cot(k_{m}d_{3}) - \frac{\tilde{X}_{2}^{(4)}(m)}{\sin[k_{m}d_{3}]} \sin[m\pi x] = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_{1}.
\]

(17)

And finally from interface IV-V

\[
j \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{II} \left[ \tilde{X}_{2}^{(3)}(m) \cot(k_{m}d_{3}) - \frac{\tilde{X}_{2}^{(4)}(m)}{\sin[k_{m}d_{3}]} \sin[m\pi x] \right] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m}^{I} \tilde{X}_{1}^{(4)}(m) \sin[m\pi x] = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_{1}.
\]

(18)

Equations (15) to (18) are a set of linear coupled integral equations from which the scattering properties of the structure are determined. For example, the reflection
coefficient is given by $B^I_1$, equation (9), whereas equation (13) gives the transmission coefficient. The intermediate regions are accurately taken into account although we are only interested in the overall performance of the structure.

It can now be easily seen how the edge conditions can be included in the formulation from the outset and at each one of the discontinuities simultaneously. It is also evident that all the modes of the individual sections of the waveguides are included as they appear only in computing the sums (inner products in the moment method solution) which are tested for convergence.

III. METHOD OF SOLUTION

The four coupled integral equations (15)-(18) can be solved following the standard moment method for a single integral equation [14]. Each of the unknown functions is expanded in a series of basis functions and then some form of projection is performed on each of the integral equations. Since the gaps of the septums are all assumed equal, we use the same basis functions to expand the fields at the interfaces. Let $B_i(x)$ denote a generic element of this set of basis functions. The unknown functions $X^{(i)}(x)$ are written in the forms

$$X^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k^{(i)} B_k(x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Using equations (19) in equations (15) to (18) and applying Galerkin’s method we get four sets of linear equations in the expansion coefficients $c_k^{(i)}$

$$[A][c^{(1)}] + [B][c^{(2)}] = [U]$$ \hspace{1cm} (20.a)

$$[C][c^{(1)}] + [D][c^{(2)}] + [E][c^{(3)}] = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (20.b)

$$[F][c^{(2)}] + [G][c^{(3)}] + [H][c^{(4)}] = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (20.c)

$$[I][c^{(3)}] + [J][c^{(4)}] = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (20.d)

The entries of the matrices in equations (20) are given by

$$[A]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y^I_m \tilde{B}_{k1}(m) \tilde{B}_{l1}(m) - j \frac{a_1}{a} Y^I_m \tilde{B}_{k2}(m) \tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^I d_1)]$$ \hspace{1cm} (21.a)

$$[B]_{kl} = j \frac{a_1}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y^I_m \frac{\tilde{B}_{k1}(m) \tilde{B}_{l1}(m)}{\sin[k_m^I d_1]}$$ \hspace{1cm} (21.b)

$$[U]_k = 2 Y^I_1 \tilde{B}_{k1}(1)$$ \hspace{1cm} (21.c)

$$[C]_{kl} = - j [B]_{kl}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22.a)

$$[D]_{kl} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y^I_m \cot(k_m^I d_2) \tilde{B}_{k1}(m) \tilde{B}_{l1}(m)$$ \hspace{1cm} (22.b)

$$+ \frac{a_1}{a} Y^I_m \cot(k_m^I d_1) \tilde{B}_{k1}(m) \tilde{B}_{l1}(m)]$$
To complete the solution, and guarantee numerical efficiency, a judicious choice of basis functions must be made. The tangential component of the electric field, $E_y$, at each of the interfaces has a singularity of the form $z^{2/3}$ at $x = a_1$ and vanishes as $x^1$ at $z = 0$ [15]. Taking into account the presence of the electric wall at $x = 0$, we use the following set of basis functions.

The transformed functions $B(rri)$ are expressible in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind of order 1/6, namely [16]

$$B_k(x) = \frac{\sin[k\pi x]}{[1 - (\frac{x}{a_1})^2]^{1/3}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

The transformed functions $\tilde{B}(m)$ are expressible in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind of order 1/6, namely [16]

$$\tilde{B}_{k1}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_1}{a} \Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(2/3) \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m a_1 a| - k|)}{(\pi/2|m a_1 a| - k|)^{1/6}} - \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m a_1 a| + k|)}{(\pi/2|m a_1 a| + k|)^{1/6}}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_{k2}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(2/3) \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m - k|)}{(\pi/2|m - k|)^{1/6}} - \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m + k|)}{(\pi/2|m + k|)^{1/6}}.$$
The extension of the formulation to situations where more than one tangential component of the electric field are present, such as double plane discontinuities and circular waveguides, is straightforward although the algebra is admittedly more cumbersome.

The case of three septums can be analyzed following similar steps. Appendix A summarizes the main results of the analysis and gives the expressions of the matrices involved. It becomes evident that large numbers of discontinuities can be effectively handled by the CIET.

In the next section, we show how a bifurcation of finite length can also be accurately investigated using the present technique.

IV. BIFURCATION OF FINITE LENGTH

Bifurcations in waveguides are often encountered in duplexers and power dividers and combiners. In this section, we present an analysis of an H-plane bifurcation of finite length using the Coupled-Integral-Equations Technique (CIET).

The structure under consideration is shown in Figure 1c. The bifurcation of thickness d and length L is illuminated by the fundamental mode TE\(_{10}\) from the left side. We are concerned with the reflection and transmission properties of the structure.

Using the standard Mode Matching Technique (MMT), one would determine the generalized scattering matrices of the individual discontinuities and then, from these, compute the scattering properties of the overall structure. Here we determine the reflected and transmitted powers directly.

As in the step discontinuities, we expand the fields in each region in modal series. In region I there are reflected waves in addition to the incident excitation TE\(_{10}\) whereas the fields in regions II and III are a superposition of forward and backward traveling waves. In region IV there are only forward traveling waves. The fields in regions I and IV have the same expressions as those given by equations (3) and (4). In regions II and III we have the following expansions

\[
E_y^{II}(x, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [B_m^{II} e^{j k_m^{II} z} + F_m^{II} e^{-j k_m^{II} z}] \sin[m \pi x / a_1] \tag{27.a}
\]

\[
H_x^{II}(x, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} [B_m^{II} e^{j k_m^{II} z} - F_m^{II} e^{-j k_m^{II} z}] \sin[m \pi x / a_1] \tag{27.b}
\]

\[
E_y^{III}(x, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [B_m^{III} e^{j k_m^{III} z} + F_m^{III} e^{-j k_m^{III} z}] \sin[m \pi x / a_2 - d / a_2] \tag{28.a}
\]

and

\[
H_x^{III}(x, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{III} [B_m^{III} e^{j k_m^{III} z} - F_m^{III} e^{-j k_m^{III} z}] \sin[m \pi x / a_2 - d / a_2] \tag{28.b}
\]

In order to include the edge conditions at each of the discontinuities at \(z=0\) and \(z=L\), we introduce unknown functions which represent the tangential electric fields...
at each of the four gaps. Let
\[ Z^{(1)}(x) = E_y^{II}(x, z = 0), \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_1 \]  
\[ Z^{(2)}(x) = E_y^{III}(x, z = 0), \quad a_1 + d \leq x \leq a \]  
\[ Z^{(3)}(x) = E_y^{II}(x, z = L), \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_1 \]  
\[ Z^{(4)}(x) = E_y^{III}(x, z = L), \quad a_1 + d \leq x \leq a \]  
Using the modal expansions of the tangential electric field in the different regions, we can rewrite their modal expansion coefficients in terms of the unknown functions \( Z^{(i)} \). The algebra is straightforward resulting in the following equations
\[ B_m^{I} = -\delta_{m1} + \tilde{Z}_1^{(1)}(m) + \tilde{Z}_1^{(2)}(m) \]  
\[ B_m^{II} = j \frac{\tilde{Z}_2^{(1)}(m)e^{-jk_m^{II}L} - \tilde{Z}_2^{(3)}}{2\sin[k_m^{II}L]} \]  
\[ F_m^{I} = j \frac{\tilde{Z}_2^{(3)}(m) - e^{jk_m^{II}L}\tilde{Z}_2^{(1)}(m)}{2\sin[k_m^{II}L]} \]  
\[ B_m^{III} = j \frac{\tilde{Z}_3^{(2)}(m)e^{-jk_m^{III}L} - \tilde{Z}_3^{(4)}}{2\sin[k_m^{III}L]} \]  
\[ F_m^{III} = j \frac{\tilde{Z}_3^{(4)}(m) - e^{jk_m^{III}L}\tilde{Z}_3^{(2)}(m)}{2\sin[k_m^{III}L]} \]  
and
\[ F_m^{IV} = e^{jk_m^{IV}L}[\tilde{Z}_1^{(3)}(m) + \tilde{Z}_1^{(4)}(m)] \]  
Here the following notations were introduced
\[ \tilde{Z}_1^{(1,3)}(m) = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{a_1} Z^{(1,3)}(x) \sin[m\pi \frac{x}{a}] dx \]  
\[ \tilde{Z}_1^{(2,4)}(m) = \frac{2}{a} \int_{a_1}^{a} Z^{(2,4)}(x) \sin[m\pi \frac{x}{a}] dx \]  
\[ \tilde{Z}_2^{(1,3)}(m) = \frac{2}{a_1} \int_0^{a_1} Z^{(1,3)}(x) \sin[m\pi \frac{x}{a_1}] dx \]  
\[ \tilde{Z}_3^{(2,4)}(m) = \frac{2}{a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a} Z^{(2,4)}(x) \sin[m\pi \frac{x - a_1 - d}{a_2}] dx \]  
To establish a set of coupled integral equations for the unknown quantities \( Z^{(i)}(x) \), equations (30) and (31) are used in the modal expansions of the tangential magnetic fields, and then the continuity of \( H_x \) is enforced at each discontinuity. More specifically, from the continuity of \( H_x \) at interface I-II,
\[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} jY_m^{I} \frac{\tilde{Z}_2^{(3)}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{II}L]} - \cot(k_m^{II}L)\tilde{Z}_2^{(3)}(m)] \sin[m\pi \frac{x}{a_1}] = 2Y_1^{I} \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}], \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_1 \]
The continuity of $H_x$ at interface I-III leads to
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} j Y_{mIII} \left[ \frac{\tilde{Z}_2^{(2)}(m)}{\sin[k_{mIII}L]} - \cot(k_{mIII}L) \tilde{Z}_3^{(4)}(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x-a_1-d}{a_2}] \right] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{mII} \left[ \tilde{Z}_1^{(1)}(m) + \tilde{Z}_1^{(2)}(m) \right] \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a_1}] = 2Y_1^I \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}],
\]
(34)

Similarly, the continuity of $H_x$ at interface II-IV gives
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} j Y_{mII} \left[ \frac{\tilde{Z}_2^{(1)}(m)}{\sin[k_{mII}L]} - \cot(k_{mII}L) \tilde{Z}_2^{(3)}(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a_1}] \right] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{mII} \left[ \tilde{Z}_1^{(3)}(m) + \tilde{Z}_1^{(4)}(m) \right] \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a}] = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_1
\]
(35)

And finally, the continuity of $H_x$ at interface III-IV leads to
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} j Y_{mIII} \left[ \frac{\tilde{Z}_3^{(4)}(m)}{\sin[k_{mIII}L]} - \cot(k_{mIII}L) \tilde{Z}_3^{(2)}(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x-a_1-d}{a_2}] \right] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{mIII} \tilde{Z}_1^{(3)}(m) + \tilde{Z}_1^{(4)}(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a}] = 0, \quad a_1 + d \leq x \leq a
\]
(36)

These coupled integral equations are solved by the moment method as in the case of the step discontinuities. Let $P_k(x)$ and $Q_k(x)$ be basis functions for the tangential electric field at the gaps of regions II and III respectively. If the functions $Z^{(i)}(x)$ are expanded in series of the form
\[
Z^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k^{(1)} P_k(x)
\]
(37.a)
\[
Z^{(2)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k^{(2)} Q_k(x)
\]
(37.b)
\[
Z^{(3)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k^{(3)} P_k(x)
\]
(37.c)
\[
Z^{(4)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k^{(4)} Q_k(x),
\]
(37.d)

and Galerkin's method applied to each of the integral equations (33) to (36), we get four sets of linear equations in the expansion coefficients $a_k^{(i)}$.

\[
[A][a^{(1)}] + [B][a^{(2)}] + [C][a^{(3)}] = [U]
\]
(38.a)
\[
[D][a^{(1)}] + [E][a^{(2)}] + [F][a^{(4)}] = [V]
\]
(38.b)
The entries of the matrices in equations (38) are given by

\[(A)_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{P}_{k1}(m) \tilde{P}_{l1}(m) - j\frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} P_{k2}(m) \tilde{P}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^{II} L)] \]  

\[(B)_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{k1}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l1}(m) \]  

\[(C)_{kl} = j\frac{a_1}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \frac{\tilde{P}_{k2}(m) \tilde{P}_{l2}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{II} L]} \]  

\[(U)_k = 2Y_1^{I} \tilde{P}_{k1}(1) \]  

\[(D)_{kl} = [B]_{lk} \]  

\[(E)_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{Q}_{k1}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l1}(m) - j\frac{a_2}{a} Y_m^{III} \tilde{Q}_{k3}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l3}(m) \cot(k_m^{III} L)] \]  

\[(F)_{kl} = j\frac{a_2}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{III} \frac{\tilde{Q}_{k3}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l3}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{III} L]} \]  

\[(V)_k = 2Y_1^{I} \tilde{Q}_{k1}(1) \]  

\[(G) = [C] \]  

\[(H) = [A] \]  

\[(K) = [B] \]  

\[(L) = [F] \]  

\[(M) = [D] \]  

\[(N) = [E] \]  

From the expressions of these matrices, it is clear that one needs to compute only the matrices \([A], [B], [C], [E]\) and \([F]\), which are all symmetric except for \([B]\). It will be seen that only one or two basis functions are necessary to obtain accurate results for the reflected and transmitted powers. This reduces the number of sums to only 16 regardless of the strength of the interactions between the discontinuities when only the fundamental mode TE\(_{10}\) is propagating. There is a considerable reduction in the numerical burden and an increase in the numerical efficiency over the standard Mode-Matching Technique (MMT).

The choice of basis functions for the bifurcation is similar to the step discontinuity. A set of basis functions which take into account the edge conditions as well as the mirror images in the electric walls of the waveguides is given by

\[P_k(x) = \frac{\sin[k \pi x]}{(1 - (\frac{\pi}{k})^2)^{1/3}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]  

(43)
and

\[ Q_k(x) = \frac{\sin[k\pi \frac{x-a_1-d}{a_2}]}{[1 - (\frac{x-a}{a_2})^2]^{1/3}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \ldots \] (44)

The transformed functions \( \tilde{Q} \) and \( \tilde{P} \) in each of the regions are given in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind of order 1/6 [16].

\[ \tilde{P}_{k1}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_1}{a} \Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(2/3) \left[ \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m_1 a - k|)}{(\pi/2|m_1 a - k|)^{1/6}} - \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi(m_1 a + k))}{(\pi/2(m_1 a + k))^{1/6}} \right] \] (45)

\[ \tilde{P}_{k2}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(2/3) \left[ \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m - k|)}{(\pi/2|m - k|)^{1/6}} - \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi(m + k))}{(\pi/2(m + k))^{1/6}} \right] \] (46)

\[ \tilde{Q}_{k1}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_2}{a} \Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(2/3) \left[ \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi|m_2 a - k|)}{(\pi/2|m_2 a - k|)^{1/6}} - \frac{J_{1/6}(\pi(m_2 a + k))}{(\pi/2(m_2 a + k))^{1/6}} \right] \] (47)

and

\[ \tilde{Q}_{k2}(m) = (-1)^{k+m} \tilde{P}_{k2}(m) \] (48)

The sums involved in the entries of the matrices in equations (38) contain terms in Bessel functions whose arguments are large (larger than 4). Asymptotic expressions of these functions can be fruitfully used to quicken the computations of these sums. It is also possible to use the 'static' sums in connection with established techniques in computing \( \zeta \)-function to further reduce the CPU time [17]. This issue is not addressed in this paper, as only small matrices are needed, but becomes important as the number of discontinuities analyzed using the present technique becomes large.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The CIET is applied to a variety of configurations in order to establish its efficiency and validity. In all figures, the solid line is obtained with 5 basis functions, the dotted line with 3 basis functions, the dashed line with 2 basis functions and the dotted-dashed line with one basis function.

The first structure we consider is an H-plane bifurcation as shown in Figure 1c. Only the fundamental mode TE\(_{10}\) is assumed incident from the left side. The equations describing the scattering phenomenon are presented in Appendix B.
Figure 2. Magnitude, real part and imaginary part of \( B_1 \) as a function of \( a_1/a \) for a bifurcation at 12 GHz and \( a = 2b = 19.5 \) mm, \( a_2 = 4.8 \) mm for \( M = 1, 2, 3 \) and 5 basis functions. a) \( L = \infty \), b) \( L = 10 \) mm, c) \( L = 5 \) mm and d) \( L = 1 \) mm.

Figure 2a shows the reflection coefficient \( B_1 \) of an infinitely long (\( L \to \infty \)) bifurcations as a function of \( a_1/a \) while the width of the second bifurcation (\( a_2 \)) is kept equal to 4.8 mm at 12 GHz (\( a=2b=19.5\)mm, \( a_2 = 4.8 \) mm). It can be clearly seen that the magnitude of the reflection coefficient is accurately predicted to be unity until one of the bifurcations is wide enough for the TE_{10} mode to propagate, i.e., when \( a_1/a \geq 0.64058 \). The convergence of the numerical solution is also evident as the difference between the results with \( M = 1 \) and \( M = 5 \) basis functions are minor over the entire range of \( a_1/a \).

Figure 2b shows the same quantity for a bifurcation of length \( L=10 \) mm. As expected, the decrease of the magnitude of the reflection coefficient is now gradual and shows more structure after cutoff (\( a_1/a = 0.64058 \)) due to interference between the incident and reflected waves. The convergence of the numerical solution can also be clearly seen as the curves obtained with \( M = 2 \) and \( M = 5 \) are practically indistinguishable. Similar conclusions can be drawn from Figures 2c and 2d which represent the reflection coefficient for \( L=5 \) mm and \( L = 1 \) mm.
respectively. It is worth mentioning that the strength of the interaction between adjacent discontinuities is accurately taken into account as can be seen from the convergence of the numerical solution when $L$ is decreased. In the MMT, large individual scattering matrices are needed to accurately describe such interactions.

To further test the technique, we analyze two and three cascaded septums. Figures 3 show the reflected and transmitted power from two septums for typical dimensions and $M = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5 basis functions at each of the discontinuities. Figure 3a is a plot of the reflection coefficient as a function of the width of the gap $a_1/a$ when the septums are 5 mm thick and separated by a distance of 1 mm. The convergence of the solution is again evident. Figure 3b shows the same quantity when the two septums are 5 mm thick and separated by a distance of 5 mm.

![Graphs](image)

(a) \hspace{1cm} (b)

Figure 3: Magnitude, real part and imaginary part of $B_1^i$ of two septums with $a = 2b = 19.5$ mm for $M = 1, 2, 3$, and 5 basis functions.

- a) as a function of $a_1/a$ at 12 GHz with $d_1 = d_3 = 14$ mm and $d_2 = 5$ mm
- b) $d_1 = d_3 = 5$ mm and $d_2 = 5$ mm

We also examined the frequency response of the same structure over the frequency range of propagation of the fundamental mode $TE_{10}$. Figure 4 shows the reflection coefficient as a function of frequency when $a_1 = 11$ mm and $a = 2b = 19.5$ mm. The convergence of the numerical solution over the range of propagation of the fundamental mode, $7.68$ GHz $\leq F \leq 15.38$ GHz, is documented as the results obtained with one and 5 basis functions practically coincide. It is also interesting to note that the local behavior of the real and imaginary parts, especially at points where either one is changing rapidly such as at the onset of the second mode at $F = 15.38$ GHz, reflect well the causality conditions [18]. These conditions, often referred to as Kramers-Kronig relations, relate the real and imaginary parts of any response function of a linear time invariant and causal physical system and can be used to test the plausibility of a given response function [19].
Figure 4: Magnitude, real part and imaginary part of $B_1^f$ of two septums as a function of frequency for $M = 1, 2, 3$ and 5 basis functions with $a = 2b = 19.5$ mm, $a_1 = 11$ mm, $d_1 = d_3 = 1$ mm and $d_2 = 5$ mm.

A more stringent test is presented by the three septums of Figure 1b. The CIET allows the analysis of the entire structure in one step regardless of the dimensions and the location of the septums. Figures 5 show the reflection coefficient of the structure. Figure 5a is a plot of the magnitude, the real and the imaginary parts of $B_1^f$ as a function of the width of the gap $a_1/a$ at 12 GHz and with $a = 2b = 19.5$ mm. The septums are 5 mm thick and separated by a distance of 1 mm. As in the case of two septums, the convergence of the numerical solution is well documented. Two basis functions are sufficient to accurately determined the reflection (transmission) properties of the structure. The interference of incident and reflected waves result in a richer reflection characteristics especially when the gaps are large enough to allow the incident fundamental mode to propagate through the system. Figure 5b shows the same quantity when the septums are 5 mm thick and separated by a distance of 5 mm. Similar conclusions to those about Figure 5a hold for this case.
Figure 5: Magnitude, real part and imaginary part of $B_1^j$ of three septums as a function of $a_1/a$ with $a = 2b = 19.5$ mm for $M = 1, 2, 3$ and 5 basis functions at 12 GHz. a) $d_1 = d_3 = d_5 = 1$ mm and $d_2 = d_4 = 5$ mm b) $d_1 = d_3 = d_5 = 5$ mm and $d_2 = d_4 = 5$ mm.

The frequency response of the system is shown in Figure 6. Over the entire range of propagation of the fundamental TE$_{10}$ mode in the larger waveguide, one or at most two basis functions, are sufficient. Also, the causal properties of the real and imaginary parts of the system are properly reflected by these results in the vicinity of frequencies where either of the two quantities is rapidly varying such that its Hilbert transform is dominated by its local behavior.

Figure 6: magnitude, real part and imaginary part of $B_1^j$ of two septums as a function of frequency for $M = 1, 2, 3$ and 5 basis functions and $a = 2b = 19.5$ mm, $a_1 = 11$ mm, $d_1 = d_3 = d_5 = 1$ mm and $d_2 = d_4 = 5$ mm.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Coupled-Integral-Equations Technique (CIET) was applied to accurately determine the reflection properties of multiple discontinuities in rectangular waveguides. A set of coupled integral equations for the tangential electric field at the discontinuities are derived and then solved by the moment method. Basis functions which include the edge conditions and mirror images in the walls of the waveguide were used to accelerate the convergence of the numerical solution. The technique allows the determination of the overall response functions of multiple discontinuities without using the matrix representations for the individual discontinuities and regardless of the strength of their mutual interactions. A set of N discontinuities is accurately analyzed, and in one step, using a matrix of the order of $3N \times 3N$. Although only H-plane structures were considered, the technique is straightforwardly applied to E-plane and double-plane structures as well as cylindrical waveguides.

APPENDIX A

In this appendix we summarize the formulation for the analysis of three H-plane septums by the CIET. The structure and coordinate system are shown in Figure 1b. Let $X^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ denote the unknown tangential electric fields at the interfaces, (see Figure 1b). Expanding the $E_y$ in each of the seven regions in terms of the modes of the appropriate section of the waveguide and expressing the modal expansion coefficients in terms of the transformed functions $\tilde{X}^{(i)}$, and matching the tangential magnetic fields at each of the 6 interfaces, we get six coupled integral equations. The functions $X^{(i)}(x)$ are expanded in series of Basis functions, those used in the analysis of two septums as

$$X^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k^{(i)} B_k(x)$$

(A.1)

Applying Galerkin's method to the integral equations, we get six sets of linear equations in the expansion coefficients

$$[A][c^{(1)}] + [B][c^{(2)}] = [U]$$

(A.2.a)

$$[C][c^{(1)}] + [D][c^{(2)}] + [E][c^{(3)}] = 0$$

(A.2.b)

$$[F][c^{(2)}] + [G][c^{(3)}] + [H][c^{(4)}] = 0$$

(A.2.c)

$$[I][c^{(3)}] + [J][c^{(4)}] + [K][c^{(5)}] = 0$$

(A.2.d)

$$[L][c^{(4)}] + [M][c^{(5)}] + [N][c^{(6)}] = 0$$

(A.2.e)

$$[O][c^{(5)}] + [P][c^{(6)}] = 0$$

(A.2.f)

The entries of the matrices are given by the following expressions

$$[A]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ V_m^I \tilde{B}_{k1}(m) \tilde{B}_l - l1(m) - j \frac{q_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \cot(k_m^I d_1) \tilde{B}_{k2}(m) \tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \right]$$

(A.3.1)
The basis functions and their transformed are given in equations (20) and (21) respectively.

\[
[B]_{kl} = j \frac{a_1}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \frac{B_{k2}(m)B_{l2}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{II}d_1]}
\]

\[
[U]_k = 2Y_1^{I} \tilde{B}_{k1}(1)
\]

\[
[C]_{kl} = \frac{a_1}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \frac{B_{k2}(m)B_{l2}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{II}d_1]}
\]

\[
[D]_{kl} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m) \cot(k_m^{I}d_2) + \frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^{II}d_1)]
\]

\[
[E]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{I} \frac{\tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{I}d_2]}
\]

\[
[F] = [E]
\]

\[
[G]_{kl} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m) \cot(k_m^{I}d_2) + \frac{a_1}{a} \tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^{I}d_3)]
\]

\[
[H]_{kl} = \frac{a_1}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \frac{\tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{II}d_3]}
\]

\[
[I] = [H]
\]

\[
[J]_{kl} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m) \cot(k_m^{I}d_4) + \frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^{II}d_3)]
\]

\[
[K]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{I} \frac{\tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{I}d_4]}
\]

\[
[L] = [K]
\]

\[
[M]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m) \cot(k_m^{I}d_4) + \frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^{II}d_3)]
\]

\[
[N]_{kl} = \frac{a_1}{a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \frac{\tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m)}{\sin[k_m^{II}d_5]}
\]

\[
[O]_{kl} = j[N]_{kl}
\]

and

\[
[P]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^{I} \tilde{B}_{k1}(m)\tilde{B}_{l1}(m) - j \frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \tilde{B}_{k2}(m)\tilde{B}_{l2}(m) \cot(k_m^{II}d_5)]
\]

The basis functions and their transformed are given in equations (20) and (21) respectively.
APPENDIX B

This appendix groups the main results for an infinitely long bifurcation ($L \to \infty$ in Figure 1c).

The tangential electric field at the two interfaces is denoted by $Z^{(1)}(x)$ and $Z^{(2)}(x)$ respectively. By following the same steps as those that led to the integral equations for a bifurcation of finite length, two coupled integral equations are derived for $Z^{(1)}(x)$ and $Z^{(2)}(x)$, namely

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^I \tilde{Z}^{(1)}_1(m) + \tilde{Z}^{(2)}_1(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \tilde{Z}^{(1)}_2(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a_1}] = 2Y_1^I \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}], \quad 0 \leq x \leq a_1
\]

(B.1.1)

and

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^I [\tilde{Z}^{(1)}_1(m) + \tilde{Z}^{(2)}_1(m)] \sin[m \pi \frac{x}{a}] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^{II} \tilde{Z}^{(1)}_3(m) \sin[m \pi \frac{x-a_1-d}{a_2}] = 2Y_1^I \sin[\pi \frac{x}{a}], \quad a_1 + d \leq x \leq a.
\]

(B.1.2)

Expanding the functions in series of basis functions $P_k(x)$ and $Q_k(x)$ as in equations (30) and (31) and applying Galerkin's method, we get two linear sets of equations in the expansions coefficients $a^{(1)}$ and $a^{(2)}$

\[
[A][a^{(1)}] + [B][a^{(1)}] = [U]
\]

(B.2.1)

and

\[
[C][a^{(1)}] + [D][a^{(1)}] = [V]
\]

(B.2.2)

where the matrices are given by

\[
[A]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^I P_{k1}(m) \tilde{P}_{l1}(m) + \frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \tilde{P}_{k2}(m) \tilde{P}_{l2}(m)]
\]

(B.3.1)

\[
[B]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m^I \tilde{P}_{k1}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l1}(m)
\]

(B.3.2)

\[
[U]_k = 2Y_1^I \tilde{P}_{k1}(1)
\]

(B.3.3)

\[
[C]_{kl} = [B]_{lk}
\]

(B.3.4)

\[
[D]_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [Y_m^I \tilde{Q}_{k1}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l1}(m) + \frac{a_1}{a} Y_m^{II} \tilde{Q}_{k2}(m) \tilde{Q}_{l2}(m)]
\]

(B.3.5)

and

\[
[V]_k = 2Y_1^I \tilde{Q}_{k1}(1)
\]

(B.3.6)
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